MAYOR AND COUNCIL AGENDA



NO. 9

DEPT.: City Manager's Office /

STAFF CONTACT: Catherine Tuck Parrish

DATE PREPARED: June 15, 2005 FOR MEETING OF: June 20, 2005

SUBJECT: Maryland Municipal League Legislative Action Requests for the 2006 State Legislative Session

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Mayor and Council direct staff to submit the following three items as Legislative Action Requests to the Maryland Municipal League:

1. Allow municipalities more discretion in setting up homeowners' tax credit programs by deleting § 9-215.1 of the Tax - Property Article, Maryland Annotated Code, pertaining to municipalities and inserting "municipalities" in § 9-215 regarding counties, thereby authorizing municipalities to have the same discretion allowed for counties.

Counties are currently allowed to make changes to the amount allowed for assessed valuation and income levels. Cities may only adopt the State amount and may not make changes to either the assessed valuation or income levels that qualify an individual. Allowing municipalities to have the same discretion as Counties for the Homeowners Property Tax Credit Program would give more flexibility and account for the varied property values and income levels around the State based on the desires of the local government's governing body.

2. Allow municipalities enact a homestead tax exemption to owner-occupied residential taxpayers

Currently, municipalities may not offer such an exemption although other states, including the District of Columbia, have such an exemption. State legislation allowing this would enable the City of Rockville to provide an exemption on some amount set by the Mayor and Council to be exempt from City property taxes. There may be Maryland State Constitutional issues related to this proposal. Another mechanism that is currently available to municipalities is to provide a property tax credit to offset the local income tax rate, as Montgomery County has done. This is not as simple or straightforward a solution as an outright homestead exemption.

3. Allow municipalities to enact development impact excise taxes on new development

This would give municipalities like Rockville, the ability to impose development impact taxes on new development. Montgomery County currently imposes development impact taxes for both transportation and schools. The County's authority to impose impact taxes comes from its charter, not from State law. State law allows municipalities to enact impact fees but not impact taxes. The distinction is important because development impact taxes allow municipalities more flexibility in determining the appropriate amount of tax. Article XI-E, § 5 of

the Constitution of Maryland requires express authorization of the General Assembly, by a general law which applies to all municipalities, for a municipality to levy any type of tax, license fee, franchise tax or fee which was not in effect the municipal corporation on January 1, 1954.

DISCUSSION: Each year, the Mayor and Council determine if there are issues that could be addressed by changing State law. These are written as a Legislative Action Request and sent to the Maryland Municipal League (MML). MML's Legislative Committee determines which items should be taken on as an MML priority issue for the upcoming legislative session.

Staff recommends that the two topics above be submitted to MML. MML staff has extensive experience in working through the State legislative process. If either of the City's priorities are adopted, it will allow City staff to work collaboratively with MML staff in Annapolis next year which has proven to be very effective for the City.

If directed through this item, staff will present the above three topics to MML as Legislative Action Requests. These will be discussed and considered along with requests from other jurisdictions over the summer. The final MML priorities will be recommended by the Legislative Committee and voted on by MML jurisdictions at the Fall Legislative Conference.

Fiscal Impact: No direct impact with this action

Change in Law or Policy: Request for the Maryland Municipal League to work on legislation to change State law

Next Steps: Legislative action requests will be submitted to MML soon after the Mayor and Council approve this item

PREPARED BY:

APPROVED BY:

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS:

Proposed Legislative Action Requests for 2006 State Legislative Session

2006 MML LEGISLATIVE REQUEST

Name of Municipality, Chapter or Department submitting request: Rockville
Contact Person/Title Catherine Tuck Parrish, Assistant City Manager
Address/City/State/Zip:111 Maryland Avenue, Rockville, MD 20850
Telephone numbers: (Work) 240-314-8103 (Home) Email: ctuckparrish@rockvillemd.gov
Please complete this form in its entirety. Attach additional sheets, if necessary, as well as documents related to your request. FORM MUST BE RETURNED NO LATER THAN Wednesday, June 15, 2005.
INTRODUCTION
 Describe the problem or situation the request is intended to address: Municipalities are not authorized to make changes to the amount allowed for assessed valuation or income levels regarding the homeowners' tax credit program. We are bound by state law parameters.
 Describe the requested legislation: Delete Section 9-215.1 of the Tax Property Article Maryland Annotated Code pertaining to municipalities and insert "municipalities" in Section 9-215 regarding counties.
3. Describe how the requested legislation would remedy the problem:
This would allow municipalities to have the same authority as counties and provide more flexibility.
4. The proposed legislation would address:Only your municipality
Only municipalities in your county \(\frac{\chi}{\chi} \) Only statewide municipalities
All counties and municipalities in the entire state
5. Would the proposed remedy have a <u>significant</u> fiscal impact on your municipality? Each municipality would be able to determine the appropriate parameters (income level, assessed valuation) - fiscal impact would vary by municipality limits established
OVER

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. Could the problem be resolved by something other than a new government or changes in administrative procedures?	law, i.e., action by another level of
NO	
2. If administrative remedies have already been pursued, what we	re they and what was the outcome?
N/A	
3. What other state and/or local agencies, if any, would be affected	ed by this proposal?
State Department of Assessments and Taxation	
4. Have any state agencies been contacted about the proposal? If	yes, what was their reaction?
HISTORICAL INFORMA 1. Has the League considered this proposal in a previous year? If circumstances that might improve its chances of success in 2005: Not sure	
2. Has this request been considered by the General Assembly in bill number, year, and outcome of legislation, if known:	prior sessions? If yes, please provide the
Not sure	
3. Has this proposed legislation been implemented by any other where it has been implemented and cite any existing law or model	city, county or state? If yes, please describe code upon which the proposal is based:
Counties have this authority under Section	9-215 Maryland Annotated Code.
Signature of authorized municipal, chapter or departmental official	Return Form To: MML Legislative Committee 1212 West Street Annapolis, MD 21401
Print name therine Tuck Parrish Date	ATTN: Kelly Pierce
Indicate the date of the meeting where the governing body of the endorsed the request:	municipality, chapter or department

FORM MUST BE RETURNED NO LATER THAN Wednesday, June 15, 2005



2006 MML LEGISLATIVE REQUEST

Name of Municipality, Chapter or Department submitting request:
Catherine Tuck Parrish, Assistant City Manager Contact Person/Title
Address/City/State/Zip: 111 Maryland Avenue, Rockville, MD 20850
Telephone numbers: (Work) 240-314-8103 (Home) Email: ctuckparrish@rockvillemd.go
Please complete this form in its entirety. Attach additional sheets, if necessary, as well as documents related to your request. FORM MUST BE RETURNED NO LATER THAN Wednesday, June 15, 2005.
INTRODUCTION
1. Describe the problem or situation the request is intended to address:
Municipalities cannot offer a homestad tax exemption to residential homeowners under Maryland law.
2. Describe the requested legislation:
Allow municipalities to enact a homestead tax exemption to owner-occupied residential taxpayers for the first X amount of assessed property value (for exampel, the first \$10,000 of value on home exempted from Municipal property tax).
3. Describe how the requested legislation would remedy the problem:
Provide flexibility and target the property tax relief to residential homeowners.
4. The proposed legislation would address:Only your municipality
Only municipalities in your county X Only statewide municipalities
All counties and municipalities in the entire state
5. Would the proposed remedy have a <u>significant</u> fiscal impact on your municipality? Yes. Would cost the City property tax revenue if enacted.

OVER.....



BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. Could the problem be resolved by something other the government or changes in administrative procedures?	nan a new law, i.e., action by another level of	
NO		
2. If administrative remedies have already been pursued, what were they and what was the outcome?		
NO		
3. What other state and/or local agencies, if any, would	be affected by this proposal?	
Counties that sent out tax bills.		
4. Have any state agencies been contacted about the prop SDAT indicated changes may be required		
HISTORICAL INFORMATION 1. Has the League considered this proposal in a previous year? If yes, describe any significant changes in circumstances that might improve its chances of success in 2005:		
Not sure.		
2. Has this request been considered by the General Asserbill number, year, and outcome of legislation, if known:	mbly in prior sessions? If yes, please provide the	
No.		
3. Has this proposed legislation been implemented by an where it has been implemented and cite any existing law of	y other city, county or state? If yes, please describe or model code upon which the proposal is based:	
District of Columbia, , other stat		
Signature of authorized municipal, chapter	Return Form To:	
or departmental official	MML Legislative Committee 1212 West Street	
	Annapolis, MD 21401	
Catherine Tuck Parrish Print name Date	ATTN: Kelly Pierce	
Indicate the date of the meeting where the governing bode endorsed the request:	y of the municipality, chapter or department	

FORM MUST BE RETURNED NO LATER THAN Wednesday, June 15, 2005



OVER.....

2006 MML LEGISLATIVE REQUEST

Name of Municipality, Chapter or Department submitting request:
Contact Person/Title Catherine Tuck Parrish, Assistant City Manager
Address/City/State/Zip:111 Maryland Avenue, Rockville, MD 20850
Telephone numbers: (Work) 240-314-8103 (Home) Email: ctuckparrish@rockvillemd.go
Please complete this form in its entirety. Attach additional sheets, if necessary, as well as documents related to your request. FORM MUST BE RETURNED NO LATER THAN Wednesday, June 15, 2005.
INTRODUCTION
 Describe the problem or situation the request is intended to address: Municipalities currently can enact impact fees on new development which must meet the test of a reasonable nexis (development fee proportionate to incremental cost of specific service). Costly and difficult to determine and justify.
2. Describe the requested legislation:
Allow municipalities the authority to enact development impact excise taxes on new development. This is currently available to counties with elected executive through home rule charter provisions.
3. Describe how the requested legislation would remedy the problem: Municipalities would be able to enact impact taxes on new development. This could include amounts for public safety and schools, if desired, and other non-municipal services that cannot be collected by impact fees.
4. The proposed legislation would address: Only your municipality
Only municipalities in your county X Only statewide municipalities
All counties and municipalities in the entire state
5. Would the proposed remedy have a significant fiscal impact on your municipality?
Yes



BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. Could the problem be resolved by something other than a negovernment or changes in administrative procedures?	ew law, i.e., action by another level of
NO	
2. If administrative remedies have already been pursued, what v	were they and what was the outcome?
3. What other state and/or local agencies, if any, would be affe	cted by this proposal?
Municipalities only.	
4. Have any state agencies been contacted about the proposal?	If yes, what was their reaction?
NO	
HISTORICAL INFORM 1. Has the League considered this proposal in a previous year? circumstances that might improve its chances of success in 200.	If yes, describe any significant changes in
Not sure	
2. Has this request been considered by the General Assembly is bill number, year, and outcome of legislation, if known: Not sure	n prior sessions? If yes, please provide the
3. Has this proposed legislation been implemented by any other where it has been implemented and cite any existing law or more montgomery County. Counties with elected e granted this authority under home rule characteristics.	del code upon which the proposal is based: xecutive form of government
Signature of authorized municipal, chapter or departmental official	Return Form To: MML Legislative Committee 1212 West Street Annapolis, MD 21401
Catherine Tuck Parrish Print name Date	ATTN: Kelly Pierce
Indicate the date of the meeting where the governing body of t endorsed the request:	he municipality, chapter or department



FORM MUST BE RETURNED NO LATER THAN Wednesday, June 15, 2005